Attitude of Peri-Urban Households towards Environmental Protection in Rivers State, Nigeria

Worlu, R.I., Isife, B.I. and Elenwa, C.O.
Department of Agric Extension and Rural Development Rivers State University,
Port Harcourt.

Abstract

The study focused on the Attitude of peri-urban households towards environmental protection in Rivers State. Specifically, the study described the socio-economic characteristics of the respondents, identified areas of environmental issues, identified the peri-urban households' sources of information on environmental issues, ascertained the strategies adopted by peri-urban households for environmental protection, examined attitude of peri-urban households towards environmental protection strategies and identified the factors affecting the peri-urban household's attitude towards environmental protection strategies in the study area. Multi-stage sampling was used to select a sample of 200 respondents. Data were collected with the use of questionnaire and analyzed using frequency, percentage and mean. The hypotheses were tested using Regression Analysis and t-test and results obtained were 6.60 and 0.33 which implied that there was significant relationship between peri-urban households' sources of information on environmental issues in the study area, and there is no significant difference between peri-urban households' attitude towards environmental protection in the three senatorial district, respectively. The result revealed that 61.51% of the respondents were male, 63.0% of them were married with mean age of 47 years old. Air, water, soil and other forms of pollution ($\bar{x} = 3.57$),increased threat on public health ($\bar{x} = 3.44$), loss of biodiversity ($\bar{x} = 3.38$), ocean becoming more acidic ($\bar{x} = 3.17$), rapid and steady depletion of atmospheric ozone layer ($\bar{x} = 3.15$), increase cases of deforestation ($\bar{x} = 2.98$), etc were agreed by the respondents to be environmental degradation issues in the study area. The respondents agreed that their sources of information on environmental issues were mostly radio programmes ($\bar{x} = 3.67$), print media ($\bar{x} = 2.65$), television programmes ($\bar{x} = 3.13$), friends and neighbours ($\bar{x} = 2.89$). The strategies adopted by the respondents for environmental protection include reuse of nylon and other carry bags, protect and use natural resources in an appropriate and cost effective manner. The factors affecting respondents attitude towards environmental protection were media and family influence. It was recommended that Peri-urban, urban and rural dwellers should adopt the various environmental protection strategies to ensure the safety of the environment.

Key words: Attitude, Peri-urban households, Environmental Protection.

Introduction

The rate at which the human environment is deteriorating on daily basis is unarguably worrisome. It is totally disappointing that in spite of the several important role played by the environment in sustaining and beautifying human existence, man have continued to display varying dispositions towards actions aimed at protecting the living environment (Akintunde, 2017). Environmental protection efforts have continued to suffer heavy setbacks. This is because human attitude towards it have received limited attention. Pressure on the environment from the impact of billions of people has become a global concern. Living systems across the globe is steadily falling; earth's biota is witnessing unprecedented challenges ranging from direct depletion of human and non-human life, to indirect depletion caused by altering earth's chemical and physical environment. The current trend of environmental degradation events have never been on records (Sinisa, 2018).

Brunner and Baccini (1992) in their work, concluded that for the past decades, environment protection has always been practiced by man, in one form or the other. Historically, international, federal, state and local governments have enacted laws and regulations to enforce environmental protection. Environmental protection are human efforts geared towards environmental conservation, the control and prevention of harmful impacts on the environment, the mitigation of environmental pollution, improvement, remediation and degradation; the response to environmental emergencies, adequate extraction and use of natural resources aimed at maintaining a healthy environment (Standford and Worsfold, 2005).

Attitudes are acquired tendencies to react to subject or object. Attitudes function to offer path to successive engagements (Hausbeck, Milbrath and Enright, (1992). Hence, from this perspective, environmental attitude is acquired disposition or tendencies to respond consistently favourable or unfavourable towards the environment or environmental issues. Although attitude is a personal tendencies, studies (Adedapo, Sawant, Kobbat and Bhise 2014; Fabiyi, Obaniyi, Olukosi and Oyawoye, 2015) have shown that variety of variables are responsible for influencing the attitude of people towards a given subject or objects.

In Nigeria, there is holistic misconception that people hold concerning environmental protection, in which they view the act as government's responsibility. Peri-urban households in Rivers State generally show varying tendencies towards environmental protection. This can be seen in their different actions to issues relating to maintaining a healthy environment (Fabiyi, Obaniyi, Olukosi and Oyawoye, 2015). According to Akintunde (2017), more of the negligence on environmental protection can be seen in the activities of small and medium scale businesses as well as individuals in peri-urban centers of Rivers State. This calls for greater actions aimed at salvaging the ecosystem.

1.1   Objective of the Study

The main objective of this study was to assess attitude of peri-urban households toward environmental protection in Rivers State, Nigeria.

The specific objectives were to:

i.   describe the socio-economic characteristics of peri-urban households in Rivers State;
ii.   identify areas of environmental issues;
iii.   identify the peri-urban households' sources of information on environmental issues;
iv.   ascertain the strategies adopted by peri-urban households towards environmental protection;
v.   examine the attitude of peri-urban households towards environmental protection strategies; and
vi.   identify the factors affecting peri-urban households' attitude towards environmental protection strategies in the study area.

Research Methodology

The research was conducted in Rivers State. Rivers State is primarily coastal pluvial state in Southern Nigeria. The state is located at the eastern part of the Delta region. It was created in 1967 out of the formerly known Eastern region. The state is made up of over 6 million people cut across its 23 Local Government Areas (LGAs) making it the sixth most populous state in Nigeria (National Population Commission, 2006). Rivers State has two major topographical divisions of riverine (coastal) and upland areas.

The population of this study comprised all household representatives in Rivers State. The 2006 population census documented a total population of 5,198,716 for Rivers State (National Population Commission, NPC, 2006). The study employed a multi-stage sampling procedure to select sample for the study. In the first stage, one LGA was purposively selected from each of the 3 senatorial zones of the state, making a total of 3 LGAs for the sample. This was done based on the peri-urban nature of the LGAs selected. The selected LGAs for the study according to their senatorial district are Rivers East, (Ikwerre), Rivers South-East (Oyigbo) and Rivers South (Degema). In the second stage, 4 communities were also selected using purposive sampling technique based on peri-urban features/characteristics from each of the selected LGAs giving a total of 12 communities for the study. Finally, households' representatives were proportionately selected from the selected communities to make a total of 200 participants for the study. The instrument for this study was structured questionnaire. Data were collected by administering the questionnaire to heads of households. The instrument was divided into six (6) sections with each section eliciting information based on the study objectives. Data for the study were analyzed using descriptive statistics such as frequency, percentage, mean and Inferential statistics such as simple regression, Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and t-test.

Results and Discussion

Socio-Economic Characteristics of Peri-Urban Households in Rivers State

Table 1 reveals that majority (61.5%) of the peri-urban households in Rivers State were male and 38.5% were female. The table also shows that 34.5% of the respondents were within the age bracket of 36-45 years with the mean age of 47 years. This is in line with the findings of Oluwatayo et al (2012); and Anyawo and Agwu (2015), who documented the same average age among families in Nigeria. This implies that peri-urban household were in their active year of interaction with the environment. Man, according to Okoli et al (2003), interact with the environment in a number of ways, the result of such interaction is seen in the cultural landscape of the geographical locations. The table also revealed that majority (63.0%) of the

respondents were married, this implies that greater number of peri-urban households live as husband and wife for raising families.

The household size revealed that more than half of the respondents (59.0%) had a family size of about 4-6 persons and average household size was 7 persons. This is an indication that peri-urban areas in Rivers State had relatively high household size. The finding is similar to that of Akinusi, Sodiya and Adamu (2018) who said that large households could exert greater impact on the environment. Usman, Salihu and Musa (2016) opined that large household size is a human capital available for environmental transformation. The table also revealed that 38.5% of the respondents attained secondary and 38.5% had tertiary education, this implies that more of the peri-urban households had attained higher levels of education. It has been reported that higher education attainment is tantamount to increased knowledge and better environmental interaction attitude (Akinnusi and Sodiya, 2013). The table further revealed that 25.5% of peri-urban households were civil servants, 20% were into trading as occupation, this indicates that majority of peri-urban households in Rivers State are engaged in environmental interactions for productive ventures (Udoh et al 2005), the table also revealed that 20.5% of the respondents' monthly income ranged between N30,000 – N50,000 and N50,000 – N70,000, respectively, with an average monthly income of N40,000. This implies that the poor monthly income of peri-urban households accounts to the high rate of poverty that is witnessed across the state. Studies by (Ucha (2010) and Asadu, Ozioko and Dimelu (2018) have shown that over 70% of Nigeria's population lives in less than one dollar per day. Further, the table revealed that majority (62.5%) of the respondents has lived in the area for over seven years. This implies that most of the respondents have had greater interaction with the environment owing to the length of stay in the location.

Table 1: Socio-economic characteristics of the respondents

Sex	Frequency (n =200)	Percentage	Mean
Male	123	61.5	
Female	77	38.5	
Age			
16-25	17	8.5	
26-35	39	19.5	
36-45	69	34.5	47
46-55	48	24.0	
56- and above	27	13.5	
Marital Status			
Single	48	24.0	
Married	126	63.0	
Divorced	9	4.5	
Widow/Widower	17	8.5	
Household Size			
1-3	26	13.0	**7**
4-6	118	59.0	
7-9	52	26.0	
10- and above	4	2.0	
Educational Level			

Non-Formal	30	15.0	
Primary	16	8.0	
Secondary	77	38.5	
Tertiary	77	38.5	
Occupation			
Student	14	7.0	
Farming	28	14.0	
Fishing	27	13.5	
Civil/Public Service	51	25.5	
Trading	40	20.0	
Artisan	30	15.0	
Unemployed	10	5.0	
Income Per Month(₦)			
Less than 10,000	19	9.5	
10,000-30,000	63	31.5	
30,000-50,000	40	20.0	**40,000**
50,000-70,000	41	20.5	
70,000-90,000	29	14.5	
90,000 – and above	8	4.0	
Length of Stay in Location			
>1 year	6	3.0	
1-3 years	21	10.5	
4-6 years	48	24.0	
7- and above	125	62.5	

Source: Field Survey, 2021.

Areas of Environmental Issues in Peri-Urban Locations in Rivers State
Table 2 shows the distribution of the respondents according to areas of environmental issues in peri-urban areas of Rivers State. The table revealed that environmental pollutions ($\bar{x}$ = 3.57), release of acid rain as rainfall ($\bar{x}$ = 3.56), incraesed threat on public health (($\bar{x}$ =3.44), loss of biodiversity (($\bar{x}$ = 3.38), ocean becoming more acidic ($\bar{x}$ = 3.17), rapid and steady depletion of atmospheric ozone layer ($\bar{x}$ = 3.15), increased cases of deforestation ($\bar{x}$ = 2.98),increased level of drought and desert encroachment ($\bar{x}$ = 2.95), and cases of rising sea level and flooding ($\bar{x}$ = 2.93) were environmental issues. This implies that the peri-urban areas in Rivers State are faced with several environmental issues such as stated above. Maurya, Ali, Ahmad, Zhou, Castro, Khan and Ali (2020) observed that loss of biodiversity has remained one of the major environmental issues in recent times. The authors stressed that loss of biodiversity has continued to witness decline in a number of component of the biodiversity.

Air pollution is a common environmental issue in Rivers State. The environmental atmosphere is heavily polluted with harmful particulate matter and gases which pose serious threat to the people living in the area. Maurya et al (2020) noted that the unfavourable environmental condition is occasioned by series of human activities. The presence of black sooth in the Rivers State is a wide spread and known environmental issue. Godwin (2022) reported that following recent increase in activities of illegal oil refineries and bunkerers, there is fear in Rivers State that this may cause a surge of deadly black soot in the

atmosphere. The report noted that since the prevalence of pollution and the inhalation of carcinogens due to black soot, public health is in danger. Ahaman (1997) noted that floods as environmental issue occurred almost every year in certain parts of Nigeria. The author stressed that the situation is usually annually in Port Harcourt metropolis and her peri-urban centres, causing devastating effect on lives and properties. The study revealed a grand mean score of 3.18 which shows that the respondents agreed that these were the major areas of environmental issues in their various locations.

Table 2: Areas of Environmental Issues

Environmental Issues	Strongly Agreed (4)	Agreed (3)	Disagreed (2)	Strongly Disagreed (1)	Total Score (n=200)	Mean ($\bar{x}$)	Remark
Environmental pollution are issues of environmental degradation.	134	52	8	6	714	3.57	Agreed
Desertification and drought is springing up due to environmental degradation.	53	91	49	7	590	2.95	Agreed
Cases of rising sea level and flooding.	70	62	51	17	585	2.93	Agreed
Feeling of increase in temperature is disturbing.	55	49	63	3.3	526	2.63	Agreed
Ocean is becoming more acidic	102	51	27	20	635	3.17	Agreed
Case of deforestation is on the increase	68	75	42	15	596	2.98	Agreed
The ozone layer is experiencing rapid depletion.	71	94	28	7	629	3.15	Agreed
The environment is steadily losing its biodiversity.	94	92	10	4	676	3.38	Agreed
Acidic rain	129	59	7	5	712	3.56	Agreed
Public health is being threatened.	117	63	10	10	687	3.44	Agreed
Mean						**3.18**	

Source: Field Survey Data, 2021.

Sources of Information on Environmental Issues

Table 3 shows that Information on environmental issues were mostly from radio programmes ($\bar{x} = 3.67$), print media (newspaper, posters, magazines and others, ($\bar{x} = 2.65$), television (3.63) community leaders (2.54), friends and neighbour ($\bar{x} = 2.89$). WhatsApp, facebook and other social media platforms ($\bar{x} = 2.45$), environmental agents ($\bar{x} = 2.13$),

churches, mosques, and other religious organizations ($\bar{x}$ = 2.36), meterological agency were minor sources of information for peri-urban household in Rivers State.

The findings contradicts the claim that metrological agency, environmental agents and higher institutions played significant role in disseminating environmental information. Bhagooli and Kaullysing (2019). Conventionally, metrological agency, higher institutions and environmental agents are expected to provide the public with adequate and timely information on environmental issues. This suggests that these establishments and bodies are not living up to their expectations. This is in line with the report of Singh et al (2018), that metrological service in Nigeria and other countries are similar to that of United States which is primarily to generate and disseminate environmental information for the benefit of public safety and national commerce. The study revealed a grand mean score of 2.67 which shows that the respondents got information from different source.

Table 3: Sources of Information on Environmental Issues

Information Sources	Strongly Agreed (4)	Agreed (3)	Disagreed (2)	Strongly Disagreed (1)	Total Score (n=200)	Mean ($\bar{x}$)	Remark
Metrological agency.	10	34	84	72	382	1.91	Disagreed
Friends, neigbours, family members and relatives	26	137	26	11	578	2.89	Agreed
Higher institution	32	55	88	25	494	2.47	Disagreed
Newspapers, posters, magazines and other print media	57	60	56	33	553	2.65	Agreed
Television.	147	36	12	5	725	3.63	Agreed
Religious organizations	21	66	77	36	472	2.36	Disagreed
Radio programmes.	153	35	4	8	733	3.67	Agreed
Community leaders.	30	86	46	38	508	2.54	Agreed
Social media	26	69	75	30	491	2.45	Disagreed
Environmental taskforce	18	59	53	70	425	2.13	Disagreed
Mean						**2.67**	

Source: Field Survey Data, 2021.

Strategies for Environmental Protection

Table 4 shows the distribution of the respondents according to the strategies they employed for environmental protection. The table revealed that majority (70.0%) of the respondents did not reuse nylon and other carry bags often, 57.0% did not use compost heap/bin to recycle waste food and other biodegradable materials, 68.5% of the respondents does not educate, and mobilize people to participate in environmental protection practices, keep the environment clean and protect natural landscapes and biodiversity, 76.0% of the respondents did not put left-over chemicals or used condemn oil into the drain, toilet or the water body and majority (84.5%) of the respondents protect and use natural resources in an appropriate and cost-effective manner.

About 72.5%, 63.0% and 54.5% of the respondents only disposed wastes after separating them into biodegradable and non-biodegradable waste materials, avoid and discourage burning of waste especially plastics to avoid polluting gases escaping into the atmosphere, and practice and encourage planting of trees occasionally, respectively. This is in harmony with the findings of Ajani, Mgbenka and Okeke (2013); Albert, and Isife (2013) who reported that agro-forestry and other environmental friendly practices are adopted by households to moderate soil temperature, conserve soil moisture and protect the environment from harmful occurrences.

Table 4: Strategies for Environmental Protection

Environmental Protection Strategies	Frequency (n = 200)	Percentage %
Reuse of nylon and other carry bags often	60	30.00
Use of compost heap/bin to recycle waste food and other biodegradable materials	86	43.00
Avoid of wasteful and unnecessary packing of products	176	88.00
Practice and encouraging planting of trees	109	54.50
Dispose of waste after separating them into biodegradable and non-degradable waste materials	145	72.50
Avoid and burning of waste, especially plastics to avoid polluting gases escaping into the atmosphere	126	63.00
Putting of left-over chemicals or used condemn oil into the drain, toilet, or the water body	48	24.00
Communicate, educate and mobilizing of people to participate in environmental protection practices, keep the environment clean, and protect natural landscapes and biodiversity.	63	31.50
Protecting and uses of natural resources in appropriate and cost-effective manner	31	15.50
Contribute financially and intellectually to environmental protection activities.	42	21.00

Source: Field Survey data, 2021.

Attitude of the respondents towards Environmental Protection

Table 5 shows the respondents' distribution according to their attitude towards environmental protection. The table revealed that the Peri-urban households enjoyed participating in environmental protection activities ($\bar{x} = 3.14$) and are interested in protecting the environment ($\bar{x} = 3.32$). Also, they indicated that conserving water is an important and healthy lifestyle ($\bar{x} = 2.63$). The table also revealed that the respondents agreed that watching and listening to environmental protection talk shows on television and radio as well as other platforms is exciting, $\bar{x} = 2.77$ and $\bar{x} = 2.72$, respectively.

The findings indicate that peri-urban households in Rivers State show a positive attitude towards environmental protection. The respondents enjoyed participating in environmental protection activities probably because they see it as a means of safeguarding their natural dwelling place. Yousuf and Bhutta (2012) have shown that humans have channeled their efforts and resources towards ensuring a safe and clean environment. Having interest in environmental protection is a strong indication that they have positive attitude towards protecting the environment. Interest is a strong motivational factor that influences man to either carry out an action or not. According to Mutisya and Barker (2011), interest is an attitudinal objective for actively participating in environmental protection and improvement.

The table further revealed that the respondents agreed that attending seminars and other educative and informative programs on environmental safety is worthwhile ($\bar{x} = 2.70$). This implies that peri-urban households in the study area are usually satisfied with effort and other investments they make toward acquiring the necessary information that can lead to protecting their environment. The study revealed a grand mean score of 2.60 which shows that the respondents adopted a positive attitude towards environmental protection in the study area.

Table 5: Respondents' Attitude towards Environmental Protection

Environmental Protection Attitudes	To a very great extent (4)	To a great extent (3)	To a little extent (2)	To a no extent (1)	Total Score (n=200)	Mean ($\bar{x}$)	Remark
Participating in environmental protection activities is enjoyable	61	109	27	1	626	3.14	Agreed
Interested in protecting the environment	77	109	14	0	663	3.32	Agreed
Conserving water is important	39	70	68	23	525	2.63	Agreed
There are enough laws to protect the environment	41	57	53	49	490	2.45	Disagreed
Opposing any governmental regulation on environmental protection that would restrict way of life	9	26	19	146	298	1.49	Disagreed
Enjoying watching TV program related to environmental protection	38	86	67	9	553	2.77	Agreed
It makes no difference to	27	25	75	72	405	2.03	Disagreed

participate in environmental protection practices							
Reading pamphlets, magazines, posters and other print media on environmental protection is enjoyable	33	80	63	24	522	2.61	Agreed
Caring much about the wellbeing of the environment	70	100	20	10	630	3.15	Agreed
Thinking of land pollution is unnecessary	28	25	68	79	402	2.01	Disagreed
Destroying of all insects because they do harm to human and crops	129	41	17	13	686	3.43	Agreed
Environmental wellbeing does not have to do with human actions	15	54	61	70	414	2.07	Disagreed
Listening to environmental agent's talk on environmental wellbeing is exciting	29	97	62	11	542	2.72	Agreed
Attending seminars and other educative and informative programs on environmental safety is worthwhile	31	93	60	16	539	2.70	Agreed
Mean						**2.60**	

Source: Field Survey Data, 2021

Factors Affecting Peri-urban households' Attitude towards Environmental Protection

Table 6 shows respondents' distribution according to the factors affecting their attitude towards environmental protection. The table reveals that group influence as a factor did not affect the majority (83.5%) of the respondents attitude towards environmental protection. This contradicts the report of Windapo and Afolayan (2011) who opined that one important characteristics of group is their ability to pressurize individual. This implies that peri-urban households attitude towards environmental protection is independent of external forces from their group. Also, the table revealed that opinion leaders did not affect attitude of majority (76.0%) of the respondents towards environmental protection. This indicates that actions of peri-urban households in the study area towards environmental protection were not affected by the presence of opinion leaders. Conventionally, opinion leaders are known to influence the attitude of individuals in certain areas of life (Nwachukwu, 2010). Also religion did not affect the attitude of the respondents towards environmental protection, this support the above claim that group influence does not affect their attitude towards environmental protection. This may imply that religious groups do not do much in shaping members attitude towards environmental protection. On the other hand, media and family affected 81.5% and 55.0% of the respondents, respectively. Fabiyi et al (2015) noted that family is a medium for nurturing the individual's attitude. According to Mohammad and Zili (2011), family acts as the most powerful base through which attitudes are formed and these attitudes formed from the family base are very difficult to change. Trivedi, Patel and Acharya (2018) reported that media are also used for shaping household's attitude towards environmental well- being the authors maintained that media as a means of communication has a major influence in altering people's attitude.

Table 6: Factors Affecting Peri-urban households' Attitude towards Environmental Protection

Factors	Frequency (n = 200)	Percentage %
Group influence	33	16.50
Opinion leaders	48	24.00
Level of education	37	18.50
Religion	138	69.00
Personal experience	42	21.00
Families	110	55.00
Media	162	81.00
Physical health and vitality	55	27.50
Occupation and economic status	32	16.00
Direct instruction from friends and neighbours	96	48.00

Source: field survey data. 2021

However, occupation and economic factor does not affect the attitude of 84.0% of the respondents towards environmental protection in the study area. This contradicts the report of Mohammad and Zili (2011) who stated that households' economic and occupational position

also contributes to attitude formation, stressing that they influence individual's attitude towards environmental protection laws, policies while Isife et al (2016) and Elenwa et al (2019) observed that income, household and education influences ones attitude.

Hypothesis

The following hypothesis was tested :
There is no significant relationship between the socio-economic characteristics of peri-urban households and their attitude towards environmental protection in Rivers State

Table 7 shows regression result on the test of significant relationship between the socio-economic characteristics of peri-urban households' and their attitude towards environmental protection in the study area. The table revealed that rural households' age and educational level were significantly related to the attitude towards environmental protection, with P-values of 0.031 and 0.000 respectively at alpha level of 0.05 whereas, the respondents' sex, occupation and marital status, income per month, household size and length of stay in present location not significantly related to their attitude with respective P- values of 0.931, 0.473, 0.352, 0.593, 0.336, and 0.450, the R-square value of 0.154 confirm this. This result is in line with the work of Zarrintaj, Sharifah and Ab- dul (2011) and Elenwa and Emodi (2019) who reported that age and level of education are statistically significant in relationship to attitude towards environment.

Table 7 Test of Relationship between Socio-Economic Characteristics of Peri-Urban Households and Their Attitudes towards Environmental Protection in Rivers State.

Model Summary and Fitness	Parameters	Linear regression (OLS)
	R	0.393
	R-square	0.154
	Adjusted R- square	0.119
	F-ratio	4.356
	P. value of F-ratio	0.000
Coefficient estimate	Variables	
X_1	Sex	-0.005 (0.931)
X_2	Age	-0.066 (0.031)*
X_3	Education	0.116 (0.000)*
X_4	Occupation	-0.011 (0.473)
X_7	Household size	0.040 (0.336)
X_8	Length of stay in community	0.025 (0.450)

Source: Field Survey data, 2021   *P $\leq$ 0.05 = Significant, P > 0.05 = Not Significant

Conclusion and Recommendation

The major environmental degradation issues in the study area were air, water, soil and other forms of pollution, cases of rising sea level and flooding, depletion of atmospheric ozone

layer leading to feeling of increase in atmospheric temperature, loss of biodiversity and worst of it all, threat on public health. Sources of information on environmental issues were agreed to include newspaper, posters, magazine and other print media, television and radio programmes, whatsApp, facebook, and other social media platforms. Environmental protection strategies adopted in the study area were dispose of waste after separating into biodegradable and non-degradable waste materials, the respondents protects and use natural resources in appropriate and cost effective way or manner. Majority of the respondents shows positive attitude towards environmental protection, factors identified to affect households attitude towards environmental protection by the majority of the respondents include; family, media, physical health and vitality. Based on the findings from this study, the following recommendations were made. Government and NGOs as well as individuals in their respective capacities should address the issues of environmental degradation appropriately to ensure safe environment. Since information is needed to make informed decision on environment, adequate information on environmental protection should be adequately supplied to peri-urban households'. Peri-urban households' and residence of other areas should adopt the various environmental protection strategies to safeguard the environment. People should have and maintain positive attitude towards the environment, to protect the environment from total collapse.

REFERNECES

Adedapo, A.O., Sawant, P.A., Kobba, F. & Bhise, R.N. (2014).Determinant of career choice of agricultural profession among the students of college of Agriculture in Maharashtra State, India. *Journal of Agricultural and Veterinary Science,* 7(9).12-18.

Ahaman, H. (1997) Floods: A paper presented at the opening of a workshops on the Management of Environment at University of Nigeria, Enugu campus.

Ajani, N.E., Mgbenka, R.N. & Okeke, M.N. (2013). Use of indigenous knowledge as a strategy for climate change adaptation among farms in sub-sahara Africa: Implications for policy. *Science Domain International,* 3, 23-40.

Akinnusi, F.A & Sodiya, C.I. (2013). Personal factors affecting awareness and perception of farm settlement-based poultry farmers to Avian influenza in Lagos, Nigeria. In Nwara, J.C. (ed.) proceedings of 26th Annual Conference of Farm Management Associations of Nigeria (FAMAN) held at Michael Okpara University of Agriculture Umudike, Nigeria, October 15th – 19th, 2012, Liu House of Excellent ventures, Owerri, Nigeria: 130-136.

Akintude, E.A (2017). Theories and Concepts for Human Behaviour in Environmental Preservation. *Journal of Environmental Science and Public Health,* 1(3) 105-109.

Akinusi, F., Sodiya, C. & Adamu, C. (2018).Determinants of farm hygiene practices towards preventing and controlling poultry diseases among poultry farmers in Lagos State, Nigeria. *Journal of agricultural extension,* 22(2), 13-27.

Albert, C.O & Isife, B.I. (2013). Soil erosion control practices for climate change adaptation in Rivers State. *Advances in Agriculture, Sciences and Engineering Research*, 3(12), 1393-1396.

Anyanwu, C.I & Agwu, M.N. (2015).Youths-in-Agriculture. In: Nwachukwu I. (ed), *contemporary issues in Agricultural extension and rural development*. (132-134).

Bhagooli, R. Kaullysing, D. (2019). *Seas of Mauritus. World seas: An environmental evaluation* (2nd ed). India Ocean to the Pacific, 253.277.

Brunner, P.H. & Baccini, P. (1992). Regional Material Management and Environmental Protection Waste Management and Research 10(2), 203-212.

Buhaug, H. (2010). Climate not to blame for African civil wars. Proceedings of National Academy of Science of the USA, 107 (38) 16477-16482.DOI:10.1073/pnas.1005739107.

Elenwa, C. O. & Emodi, A. I. (2019). Soil conservation practices among rural farmers in arable crop production in Omuma Local Government Area of Rivers State, Nigeria. Agro-Science Journal of Tropical Agriculture, Food, Environment and Extension, 18(3), 42-47

Elenwa, C.O., Okorie, U.G. & Okwukwu, E. (2019). Assessment of gender attitudes towards community health programmes in rural Rivers State, Nigeria. *The International Journal of Agriculture, Management and Technology,* 3(1), 77-85.

Elenwo, E.I. (2015). Socio-economic Impacts of Flooding in the Residents of Port Harcourt metropolis in Rivers State, Natural Resources. 6(1), 1-8.

Ellem M. (2021). Black soot and Public Health of Rumuolumeni residents in Port Harcourt, Nigeria.*Direct Research Journal of Social Science and Education Studies*. 8(1) 9-13.

Fabiyi, E.F, Olaniyi, K.S, Olukosi, J.O. & Oyawoye, F.O. (2015).Perception and Attitude to the Study of agriculture, OmuAran, Kwara State Nigeria. Impact Factor Case Studies Journals, 4(8):96-101.

Godwin O. (2022, Jan 14). "Wike Storms artisanal refinery sites on war against illegal bunkering: *Nigerian Tribune*. https://wwwtribuneonlineng.com/wike-stormsartisal-refinery-sites-on-war-against-illegal-bankering.

Hausbeck, K.W, Milbrath, L.W. & Enright, S.M. (1992). Environmental knowledge, awareness and concern among 11th grade students: New York State. *The Journal of Environmental Education,* 24(1), 27-34.

Isife, B. I, Albert, C. O. & Yeemah, B.E. (2016). Rural Farmers' Attitude towards Health Extension Programmes in Gokana Local Government Area, Rivers State, Nigeria. *Scholar Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences*, 3(1), 26-32.

Maurya, P.K. Ali, S.A., Ahmad, A., Zhou, Q., Castro, J.S, Khan, E. & Ali, H. (2020).An introduction to environmental degradation, causes, consequence and mitigation. *Environmental degradation: Causes and remediation strategy* 1-20, DOI: 10.26832/aesa.2020-edcrs-01.

Mohammad, B.N. & Zili, L. (2011). Factors affecting attitudes: A case study of immigrants attitude towards the brochures and website of VaxjoKommau. *The Academics*, 2(2) 21-34.

Mutisya, S.M. & Barker, M. (2011). Pupil's environmental awareness and knowledge: A springboard for action in primary schools in Kenya's Rift Valley Science Education International. 22(1).55-71.

Naku, D. (2022). Soot: Wike orders shutdown of illegal oil refining sites. *Punch newspapers*.https://punch.com/soot-wike-orders-shutdownofillegal-oil-refining-sites.

Nwachukwu, I. (2010). Impact of shell's Sustainable Community Development Approach on the livelihood activities of community beneficiaries. *Journal of Sustainable Society,* 3(2), 7-14.

Nwachukwu, I. (2017). *Agricultural communication: Principles and practice* .Second edition. Umuahia, SCCOR Publishers.

Okoli, I.C. Ebere, C.S., Uchegbu, M.C, Udah, C.A &Ibeawuchi I.I. (2003).A survey of the diversity of plants utilization for small ruminant feeding in South-Eastern Nigeria. Agriculture, *Ecosystem and Environment*. 96(1-3), 147-154.

Oluwatayo, B.I. & Oluwatayo, T.B. (2012).Small Ruminants as Sources of Financial Security. A case of study of women in South-West. *Nigeria Journal of Agribusiness and Rural Development*.49 (3) DOI:10.17306/J.JARD.2018.00358.

Singh, C., Daron, J., Bazaz, A., Ziervogel, G. &Jaqdish, D.S (2018). The utility of weather and climate information for adaptation decision making: current uses and future prospect in Africaand India. Climate and Development 10(5),389-405

Sinisa, F. (2018). Importance of Environmental protection on the global level.*Scientific Journal of Research and Review,* 1(2) 1.

Standford, R. & Worsfald, P.J. (2005).Environmental Analysis in Worsfold, P. Townshend, A. and Poole, C. (Eds).*Encyclopedia of Analytical Science* (2nd) Edition.

Steinway, O.M. (2014). *Fundamentals of Environmental Land*: In Sullivin, T.F.P. (ed) Environmental law handbook (22nd). Bernan Press, Lanham, 1.

Trivedi, R.H., Patel, J.D & Acharya, N. (2018) Causality analysis of media influence on environmental attitude, intention and leading to green purchasing. *Journal of Cleaner Production,* 196, 11-22.

Ucha, C. (2010). Poverty in Nigeria: Some Dimensions and Contributing Factors. *Global Majority Journal*, 1(1) 46-56.

.Windapo, O. & Afolayan, S.O. (2011).Group dynamics in agricultural extension. In Madukwe, M.C. (Ed.) Agricultural extension in Nigeria (2nded.). *Agricultural extension society of Nigeria,* Illorin, Kwara State Nigeria, 178-191.

Zarrintaj, A. Sharifah, Z.B.S.Z &Ab-dul, S.H. (2011). Influence of age and level of education on environmental awareness and attitude: Case study on Iranian student in Malaysian universities. *The social science,* 6:15-19.